Date: 03/04/2019 10:29:58



Invitation for feedback on the TEG preliminary recommendations for an EU Green Bond Standard

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Disclaimer

This call for feedback is part of Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union, Directorate-General for Environment, Directorate-General for Climate action and Directorate-General for Energy ongoing work on sustainable finance, for which the European Commission has set up a <u>dedicated Technical Expert Group (TEG)</u>.

In its <u>action plan: financing sustainable growth</u>, action 2 on "creating standards and labels for green financial products", the European Commission has requested the TEG to prepare a report on an European Union (EU) Green Bond Standard, building on current best practices.

This feedback process is not an official Commission document nor an official Commission position. Nothing in this feedback process commits the Commission nor does it preclude any potential policy outcomes.

In 2018 the European Commission (EC) published its <u>action plan on financing sustainable growth (action plan)</u>. In Action 2 of the action plan, the EC commits to create standards and labels for green financial products. A <u>technical expert group on sustainable finance (TEG)</u> has been set up by the EC to assist in four key areas of the action plan, one key area is the development of an European Union (EU) Green Bond Standard.

The TEG has drafted an <u>interim report</u>, outlining the status of the work conducted so far (as of Februaryj2019). This report proposes the content of an EU Green Bond Standard (EU GBS), explains its purpose, sets its ambition level, and explains how we think the creation of this EU GBS will address the barriers to the green bond market's further development and will support its role in channeling substantial financial flows to green projects. In addition, the interim report elaborates on possible incentives, based on the EU GBS, to enhance the growth of green bond issuance and the links with other sustainable financing instruments in a wider context.

The final report will provide guidance to the EC on our proposed way forward for the EU GBS, including on possible legislative initiatives or amendments. It should also feed into the work being launched in parallel by the EC on a potential EU Ecolabel for green financial products.

Financial market participants are invited to give their feedback on the key elements of this interim report.

The deadline for providing feedback is 3 April 2019 cob

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact ec-teg-sf@ec.europa.eu.

Useful documents and links:

- Full and downloadable version of the interim report
- Draft Green Bond Standard
- More information on this invitation for feedback
- Specific privacy statement

1. Information about you

sustainability consultancy

credit rating agency

*Are you replying as a(n):

institutional investor

public sector issuer/ borrower (sovereigns, regions, municipalities, government backed entities)

multilateral or bilateral financial institution, government backed agency or development bank

corporate issuer/borrower

financial institution acting as issuer/borrower

financial institution acting as intermediary

financial institution acting as lender

NGO

auditing/assurance firm
academic
stock exchange
index provider
other
*Name of your organisation:
Finance Watch
*Contact email address:
The information you provide here is for administrative purposes only and will not be published
contact@finance-watch.org
*
* Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register?
(If your organisation is not registered, <u>we invite you to register here</u> , although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this consultation. <u>Why a transparency register?</u>)
Yes
No
© NO
*If so, please indicate your Register ID number:
il so, piease malcate your riegister ib mumber.
37943526882-24
*Your organisation has been active in the green bond market as:
at least 1 choice(s) investor
issuer
underwriter
external verifier
index provider
stock exchange
✓ not active so far
considering to be active in the next 12 months
other
other —
*Where are you based?
Belgium
*Where do you carry out your activity?
*Where do you carry out your activity?
Belgium



Important notice on the publication of responses

(see specific privacy statement 2)

- Yes, I agree to my response being published under the name I indicate (name of your organisation /company/public authority or your name if your reply as an individual)
- No, I do not want my response to be published

2. Your opinion

1.1 The TEG identifies five key barriers to the development of the green bond market (see Section 2.2 of the report of the Technical Expert Group subgroup on Green Bond Standard (the report)).

On a scale from 1 to 5, please express your view as to the importance of each of these barriers (1 indicating the lowest importance):

	1 (least important)	2	3	4	5 (most important)	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
a) Absence of clear economic benefits associated with issuance of green bonds	•	•	0	0	0	•
b) Issuers' concerns with reputational risks and green definitions	0	•	0	0	0	0
c) Complex and potentially costly external review procedures	0	0	0	•	0	0

^{*}Contributions received are intended for publication on the Commission's website. Do you agree to your contribution being published?

d) Uncertainty with regards the type of assets and expenditures that can be financed by green bonds	•	0	0	•	•	•
e) Lack of clarity with regards to the practice for the tracking of proceeds	•	0	0	•	•	•

1.2 Have you identified other barriers to the development of the green bond market, in addition the ones listed above? Please comment as appropriate:

2000 character(s) maximum

Other possible barriers are:

- Limited scope for legal enforcement of green integrity
 Page 7 http://unepinquiry.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09
 Green_Bonds_Country_Experiences_Barriers_and_Options.pdf
- Lack of Supply of Labelled Green Bonds
 Page 29, http://unepinquiry.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09
 Green_Bonds_Country_Experiences_Barriers_and_Options.pdf

If the green bond market is today a niche market, it is mainly because there are currently no concrete commitments from the emission intensive industries to make the investments needed to promote the necessary transition. If strict environmentally policies, while duly taking into account the social impacts and any distributional effects, obliged these sectors to take the necessary steps in line with the Paris Agreement and other environmental goals, then industries from these sectors would necessarily have to increase investments in green projects and that would contribute to the development of green bond markets. It is also important to stress that if the environmental policies were more prescriptive, it would be irrelevant to label the bonds: "green", because the capital would be reoriented towards sustainable investments anyway.

2 With the objective to support the scaling up of the EU green bond market while at the same time safeguarding the integrity of this market, the TEG puts forward eleven preliminary policy recommendations for consideration by the European Commission.

Recommendations 1-4: Please express your agreement with the proposed recommendations by ticking the yes/no box:

	Yes	No	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Recommendation 1: Create a voluntary EU Green Bond Standard	0	•	•
Recommendation 2: Monitor impact and consider further supporting action including possible legislation after an estimated period of 3 years	•	•	•
Recommendation 3: Develop a legislative proposal for a centralised accreditation regime for external green bond verifiers to be potentially operated by ESMA	•	0	•
Recommendation 4: Set up a market-based voluntary Accreditation Committee for external verifiers of green bonds for a transition period	•	0	•

Please add any comments to your replies on recommendations 1 to 4, as appropriate:

2000 character(s) maximum

The implementation of the EU GBSs should take place through a Regulation. Bonds issued in the EU should not be labelled as Green Bonds unless they comply with the EU GBS.

Recommendations 5-11: Please express your agreement with the proposed recommendations by using the scale from 1 to 5 (1 indicating no agreement):

	1 (strongly disagree)	2	3	4	5 (strongly agree)	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Recommendation 5: Encourage investors (in particular institutional investors) to adopt the requirements of the EU-GBS and actively communicate their commitment	•	0	0	0	•	•
Recommendation 6: Adopt an ambitious disclosure regime for institutional investors	•	0	0	0	•	•
Recommendation 7: Consider promoting greening the financial system by expressing and implementing a preference for EU green bonds	©	0	0	0	•	©
Recommendation 8: Develop credit enhancement guarantees for sub-investment grade green bonds	0	0	0	0	0	•
Recommendation 9: Encourage all types of European issuers to issuing their future green bonds in compliance with the requirements of the EU GBS	•	0	•	0	•	•
Recommendation 10: Set up a grant scheme to off-set the additional cost of external verification for issuers	•	0	0	0	•	•

Please add any comments to your replies on recommendations 5 to 11, as appropriate::

2000 character(s) maximum

With regard to recommendation 5, there should be a clear mandate for the investors to adopt the requirements of the EU-GBS.					

3.1 The TEG proposes that the proceeds from EU green bonds be allocated to green projects (Section 4.1 of Annex 1 draft model of the EU Green Bond Standard to the report).

Do you agree that green projects may include the following items?

	Yes	No	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
a) eligible green assets including physical assets and financial assets such as loans;	•	0	•

b) the share of the working capital that can reasonably be attributed to the operation of such eligible, tangible or intangible, green assets;	•	0	0
c) eligible green operating expenditures related to improving or maintaining the value of eligible assets;	0	•	0

3.2 Please add any comments to your replies to question 3.1, as appropriate:

2000 character(s) maximum

We do not believe that green bonds should finance operating expenditures because of the difficulty in tracking the use of the proceeds.

4.1 The TEG proposes (Section 4.1 of Annex 1 draft model of the EU Green Bond Standard to the report) that eligible green expenditures qualify for refinancing with a maximum three years look-back period before the issuance year of the EU green bond, while eligible green asset qualify with no maximum look-back period.

Do you agree that a maximum look-back period be imposed with regard to the refinancing of eligible green expenditures?

	Yes	No	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
--	-----	----	--

a) Do you agree that a maximum look-back period be imposed with regard to the refinancing of eligible green expenditures?	•	•	•
b) Do you agree that a no maximum look-back period be imposed with regard to the refinancing of eligible green assets?	•	•	•

4.2 If any of your answers to question 4.1 is no, what is the maximum look-back period you would propose for reference in the EU Green Bond Standard? Please explain your view:

Ve do not believe that	it green bonds sh	ould finance or	perating expendi	tures.	

- 5.1 The TEG proposes (Section 3.3.1 of the report) that in cases where:
 - i. the Taxonomy is not yet in force;
 - ii. the technical criteria are not yet available;
 - iii. or when technical criteria are considered not directly applicable due to the innovative nature, complexity, and/or the location of the green projects,

the issuer be allowed to rely on the fundamentals of the Taxonomy to verify the alignment of their green projects with the Taxonomy.

Do you agree with this approach?

Yes

No

2000 characte	er(s) maximum				
	-		ensure that the risk of gerpretations and lead t		
Standard to which conf	the report)) the tree that the tree that the volun	hat the issuer tary alignmer	Annex 1 draft me produces a gre at of green bond	en bond Fr	amework (GBF)
	green	etails on key bond	aspects of the strategy	use of pro	ceeds and the processes
ssuer's	green	bond	•	and	
ssuer's	green	bond	strategy	and	
Do you agr Yes No	green ee with the env	bond isaged conte	strategy	and	
Do you agr Yes No	green	bond isaged conte	strategy	and	
Do you agr Yes No Don't k	green ee with the env	bond isaged content / not relevant	strategy nt and role of the	and GBF?	processes
Do you agr Yes No Don't k	green ee with the env now / no opinion /	bond isaged content / not relevant	strategy	and GBF?	processes

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

7.1 The TEG proposes (<u>Section 4.3 of Annex 1: draft model of the EU Green Bond Standard to the report</u>) that the EU green bond issuer reports at least annually,

until full allocation of the bond proceeds to green projects and thereafter, in case of any material change in allocation.

Please express your agreement with the proposed recommendations by using the scale from 1 to 5 (1 indicating no agreement):

	1 (strongly disagree)	2	3	4	5 (strongly agree)	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
a) Statement of compliance with the EU Green Bond Standard	•	0	0	0	•	•
b) Amount allocated to each green projects or green project categories; with the classification of such projects according to the EU Taxonomy and/or to EU environmental objectives	©	0	0	0	•	•
c) Nature of green projects (assets, capital expenditures, operating expenditures, etc.)	0	0	0	0	•	0
d) Share between green project financing and refinancing	©	0	0	0	•	•
e) Share of green projects financed by the issuer (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	•	0
f) Actual or estimated impact of the green projects based on metrics outlined in the GBF	0	0	0	0	•	0

g) Regional distribution of green projects	•	0	0	0	•	•
h) Green bond ratio	0	0	0	0	•	0

7.2 Please add any commei	its to your replies	to question 7.1, a	is appropriate:
---------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------

200	00 character(s) maximum		

- 8.1 The TEG proposes (Section 4.4 of Annex 1: draft model of the EU green bond standard to the report) that the issuer appoints External Reviewers to verify both:
 - i. before or at issuance, the issuer's GBF, AND;
 - ii. after allocation of proceeds,

the EU green bond allocations and the actual or estimated impact reporting provided by the issuer.

Do you agree with this approach to verification as proposed by the TEG?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
- 8.2 Please add any comments to your reply to question 8, as appropriate:

2000 character(s) maximum

Ει	The TEG puts forward (Section 5 of the report) for consideration by the property commission, a series of proposals for incentives to support the EU reen bond market
Do	you have any comment on the incentives stated in the Section 5.1?
2	000 character(s) maximum
	There is still a need at the EU level for strong environmental policies which can address the environmental challenges at their source and so shape a financial system which automatically promotes the supply of green assets. For example, emission standards should be based on the sectorial decarbonization scenarios set in the EU 2050 long term strategy.
	0.1 Some of these <u>proposals stated in</u> 5.2 pose challenges to their
	plementation – requiring the engagement of several authorities, the acquisition new competencies and involving prolonged timelines. These proposals will
	quire further analysis by the TEG as well as outreach and feedback from a broad
ra	ange of stakeholders

Please express your view on the potential effectiveness of such proposals using the scale from 1 to 5, with 1 indicating no effectiveness:

	(not effective at all)	2	3	4	5 (very effective)	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
a) Tax incentives at issuer or investor level (including accelerated depreciation for assets financed by green bonds and loans)	•	0	0	0	•	•
b) Favoring EU green bonds in relevant financial sector regulation and prudential rules	•	0	0	0	•	0

10.2 Have you considered any other proposals for incentives in addition to the ones outlined by the TEG in Section 5 of the report?

Please comment as appropriate:

2000			

- We would like to highlight that prudential rules need to be risk based.
- Tax policies should be used to penalize economic activities associated with negative externalities, while ensuring that their distributional and social effects are duly taken into account.

11.1 The objective of the EU GBS is to support the scaling up of the green bond market in the EU, while at the same time safeguarding the integrity of this market.

Through which of the means is the EU GBS likely / unlikely achieve to this o b j e c t i v e ?

Please express your view using the scale from 1 to 5, with 1 indicating unlikely.

	1 (very unlikely)	2	3	4	5 (very likely)	Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
a) Alignment of eligible green projects with the EU Taxonomy – expected to reduce uncertainty over greenness and provide clear guidance	0	0	0	•	0	•
b) Clarification with regards to some key elements involved in green bond issuance: tracking of proceeds, nature of eligible assets / expenditures – expected to reduce uncertainty and provide clear guidance	©	0	0	•	•	•
c) Requirement for the publication of issuer's GBF and for allocation- and impact reporting – expected to increase transparency and promote standardisation in provision of information	•	0	0	•	©	•
d) Mandatory external review (and accreditation of reviewers – expected to support reliability of information, market integrity, and promote standardisation in provision of information	©	0	©	•	•	©

11.2 Please add any comments to your replies to question 11, as appropriate:

2000 character(s) maximum

ease comment as appropriate:			
t h e T E G rase comment as appropriate: OO character(s) maximum			
t h e T E G rase comment as appropriate: OO character(s) maximum			
t h e T E G rase comment as appropriate: OO character(s) maximum			
t h e T E G rase comment as appropriate: OO character(s) maximum			
ease comment as appropriate: OO character(s) maximum	2. Are there any other re		
00 character(s) maximum			
Additional information	000 character(s) maximum	•	
Additional information			
	Additional informa	ation	

Useful links

TEG interim report on EU Green Bond Standard (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/190306-sustainable-finance-teg-interim-report-green-bond-standard_en)

not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) here:

<u>Draft Green Bond Standard (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/190306-sustainable-finance-teg-interim-report-green-bond-standard_en)</u>

Feedback invitation details (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/190306-sustainable-finance-interim-teg-report-green-bond-standard_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/190306-sustainable-finance-teg-interim	1-report-green-bo
standard-privacy-statement_en)	
More on the Transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.d	lo?locale=en)
Contact	

ec-teg-sf@ec.europa.eu